

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
08/899,198	07/23/97	7 TURNER		D	FISHBAR-6		
020455		PM11/0910	, ¬	EXAMINER			
LATHROP CLARK				MELIUS, T			
122 W WASH: P O BOX 150		INE		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MADISON WI		,		3616	9		
				DATE MAILED:	09/10/ 9 8		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	_ o-/	1	prel L. Turner		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	/ /	Group Art Unit	1	
	Examiner TERRY LES?	Melius	3616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	•			ddress	
Period for Response	_	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	I(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaulted to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statute	ory minimum of thi S from the mailing	rty (30) days will be date of this commu	considered timely	
Status	_				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Augus	7 3,1998			·	
This action is FINAL.	,				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the app	olication.	
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	_ is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 1,2 And	is/are re	_ is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are o	_ is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)		are sub		or election	
Application Papers		•			
$\hfill \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved	l.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. 	e priority documents h	ave been			
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter 			·•		
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)	Interview Summ	nary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office	Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 08/899,198

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner would like to note that the amendment submitted on August 3, 1998 has been entered. New claim 3 has been renumbered as claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trudeau (5,139,006).

Application/Control Number: 08/899,198

Art Unit:

The Examiner would like to note that the Trudeau reference was used in the previous Office action.

Response to Arguments

As for Applicant's arguments, 35 U.S.C. 102 is based strictly on structure and the Trudeau reference clearly provides a cutting blade produced from boron steel and having a hardness within the specified range of the listed claims.

In the alternative (for arguments sake), to look at other cutting blades for ideas of increasing the strength of rotary mowing blades would have been considered an obvious art collection to explore. One of ordinary skill in the art would have "found" the Trudeau teachings.

As for the Affidavit of Wilkey and the Declaration of Turner, such secondary considerations do not carry significant weight when against a 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection (based strictly on structure).

The Examiner would like to note that such secondary considerations would be given significant weight when viewing method claims directed at a specific method and aimed specifically at a rotary cutting blade, however the present apparatus claims are not associated with a specific method of manufacture.

Application/Control Number: 08/899,198

Art Unit:

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Terry Melius at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

The Examiner can normally be contacted any time Monday-Thursday.

T.L.M./tlm

9-8-1998

TERRY LEE MELIUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 350 AM. 3616